The Asymmetry of Price Behavior Around Buy and Sell Trades: New Evidence on the Stock Exchange of Thailand

Nattawut Jenwittayaroje^{*}, *NIDA Business School* Charlie Charoenwong, *Nanyang Technological University* David K. Ding, *Massey University*

Outline

- Background on price impact asymmetry between buy and sell trades
- Hypotheses
- Data and Methodology
- Empirical Findings
- Conclusion

SEC Working Paper Forum#9 – Oct 2015

Background on Price Impact Asymmetry

- Empirical findings of permanent price impact asymmetry
 - Predominantly permanent (temporary) price increases (decreases) for buyer (seller) initiated block trades (Holthausen et al 1987, 1990; Keim and Madhavan 1996; Kraus and Stoll 1972)
 - Buys are associated with price increases and enjoy a further price continuation, but price drops from sales is nearly fully reversed (Chan and Lakonishok, 1993, 1995)
 - Keim & Madhavan (1995): buy orders take longer time to execute than equivalently-sized sell orders.
 - Escribano & Pascual (2006): the ask-quote changes as a result of buys are larger than the bid-quote changes from similar sales.
- "Buys are usually more informative than sells."



2

Background on Price Impact Asymmetry (con't)

- Theoretical prediction about the role of individual stocks' return history
 - Saar (2001) argues that the longer the run of price appreciation a stock experiences, the lower the buy-sell asymmetry of (permanent) price impact.
 - if the price of a stock did not go up recently -> less likely that investors own that stock -> hence the diversification (short sale) constraints is unlikely (more likely) to be binding.
 - If good information day -> prompt investors to buy -> resulting in a high probability of an informed buy.
 - If bad day -> investors do not own the stock, so they cannot sell it -> resulting in a low probability of an informed sell.
 - On the contrary, as a stock experiences a longer period of price appreciation, the situation is reversed.
- "A stock's history of price performance influences the buy-sell asymmetry of (<u>permanent</u>) price impact"
 - Asymmetry in price impacts decreases with the stocks' historical performance. (Saar, 2001) → never been empirically tested.

Hypotheses

- Asymmetry in *permanent* and *temporary* price impact is determined by *contemporaneous market conditions*.
- There is a greater proportion of informed trades among buys (sells) in a bullish (bearish) market than sells (buys).
- During a bullish (bearish) market, price impact of buys (sells) is mainly permanent, but that of sells (buys) is primarily temporary.
 - Hypothesis 1: During a rising (falling) market, buys (sells) have a higher permanent price impact, but lower temporary price impact than sells (buys).
 - Hypothesis 1.1: Buys (sells) incur more permanent price impact, with less temporary price impact (i.e., price reversal), during a rising (falling) market than during a falling (rising) market.

Background on Price Impact Asymmetry (con't)

- Empirical evidence on the role of market conditions
 - Chiyachantana, Jain, Jiang and Wood (CJJW), 2004; Keim, 2003; Wagner and Edwards, 1993 argue that because of the existence of positive feedback trading, buy (sell) trades are more expensive to execute (i.e., incur higher *total price impact*) than sell (buy) trades on bullish (bearish) markets.
- "Price impact asymmetry is related to contemporaneous market conditions".

Research Questions

- The existence of asymmetry in permanent/temporary price impacts?
- How and to what extent the notion that buys is more informed than sells is supported?
- How are the permanent/temporary price impacts of buys and sells and the asymmetry in permanent/temporary price impacts related with the market conditions? → H1, H1.1
- How are the permanent price impacts of buys and sells and the asymmetry in price impacts related with the history of individual stocks' performance? → Test Saar (2001)

Data

- Trades and quotes for all stocks on Stock Exchange of Thailand: 2000-2002.
- Sample consists of three periods with different market conditions:
 - Period 1: Mar 2000 to Oct 2000 bear market
 - Period 2: Nov 2000 to July 2001 neutral market
 - Period 3: Nov 2001 to Jun 2002 bull market
- Exclude opening transactions and trades occurring during morning and afternoon pre-opening periods.
- At least 130 *active* trading days in each of the three periods, where an active day is a trading day with minimum of 20 trades.
- Final sample: trades for 71 stocks over the three periods from March 2000 to June 2002.
 - There are around 4.7 million trades included in the study.

Table 1: Summary Statistics of the Sample Panel A: Overall Sample

	Dowind 1	Daviad 2	Daviad 2		
	renou 1	renou 2	renou 5		
(Ma	r '00-Oct '00)	(Nov '00-Jul '01)	(Nov '01-Jun '02)		
Number of stocks	71	71	71		
Number of trading days (days)	133	177	139		
Average market cap (million baht)	19,484	13,309	14,271		
Volume-weighted trade price (baht)	14.72	12.64	12.51		
Market return	-49.8%	-0.6%	46.3%		
Value-weighted 71-stock return	-59.4%	-6.9%	44.7%		
Quoted spread (baht)	0.400	0.314	0.188		
Relative quoted spread	1.449%	1.458%	0.701%		



Methodology

- Trade side classification: Lee and Ready (1991) procedure
- Price impact
 - Use midquote at trade time as the pre-trade equilibrium stock value, and midquote at 60 minutes later as the post-trade equilibrium stock value.
 - TPI = In(executed price/midquote) for buy.
 - TPI = In(mid-quote/executed price) for sell.
 - PPI = In(mid60/midquote) In(SET60/SET0) for buy.
 - PPI = ln(midquote/mid60) ln(SET0/SET60) for sell.
 - TempPI = In(executed price/mid60) + In(SET60/SET0) for buy.
 - TempPI = In(mid60/executed price) + In(SET0/SET60) for sell.



Empirical Results (continued)

Table 4: Correlations between stock conditions and permanent

price imp	act .									
1, 1,	I	Period 1		Р	Period 2		Period 3			
Return	(Mar 20	(Mar 2000 - Oct 2000)		(Nov 20	(Nov 2000 - Jul 2001)		(Nov 2001 - Jun 2002)		2002)	
reaten	Buys	Sells	Buys - Sells	Buys	Sells	Buys - Sells	Buys	Sells	Buys - Sells	
Previous day return	-0.01	-0.05	0.03	-0.05	0.01	-0.04	-0.01	-0.02	0.00	
- Monday	-0.07	0.00	-0.04	-0.10	0.06	-0.10	-0.03	0.01	-0.02	
- Tuesday	-0.04	-0.03	-0.01	-0.01	-0.05	0.02	-0.03	0.00	-0.02	
- Wednesday	0.03	-0.05	0.05	-0				0.00]
- Thursday	0.05	-0.11	0.09	_ Re	ject t	he idea	a that a	a stoc	k's his	story of price
- Friday	-0.01	-0.08	0.04	- pei	form	ance d	etermiı	nes th	ne peri	manent price
Current day return	0.29	-0.32	0.35	lim	bact a	asvmm	etrv be	twee	n buvs	s and sells
- Monday	0.21	-0.36	0.32	I (Sa	ar 20	01)	,		,	
- Tuesday	0.26	-0.22	0.27							
- Wednesday	0.28	-0.24	0.29	0.27	-0.26	0.34	0.53	-0.61	0.59	
- Thursday	0.41	-0.46	0.48	0.34	-0.40	0.47	0.57	-0.64	0.63	
- Friday	0.36	-0.40	0.45	0.38	-0.39	0.46	0.61	-0.63	0.65	
Previous week return	-0.13	0.00	-0.08	-0.07	0.00	-0.05	-0.04	0.05	-0.05	
Current week return	0.30	-0.41	0.43	0.32	-0.36	0.47	0.48	-0.67	0.60	
Previous month return	-0.17	0.08	-0.18	-0.04	-0.03	-0.01	-0.03	0.00	-0.02	
Current month return	0.20	-0.44	0.41	0.25	-0.29	0.48	0.55	-0.67	0.64	15

Robustness Checks

- Using midpoint quotes 30 minutes after the trade, instead of 60 minutes
- Trade size classification by absolute number of shares traded (e.g., 0-1,000 shares, 1,000-5,000 shares, 5,000-10,000 shares, 10,000-50,000 shares, and 50,000 shares up)
- The main results are roughly unchanged.

Conclusions

- An out-of-sample test of asymmetry in permanent price impacts documented from block and institutional equity trading in US.
- Most importantly, show the role of market conditions in influencing the asymmetry of *permanent/temporary* price impacts between buys and sells.
- For (very) large trades, show that the asymmetry of permanent/temporary price impacts is consistent with the notion that buys are more informed than sells.
- Show that the history of stocks' price performance is NOT significantly related with the permanent price impacts and asymmetry in permanent price impacts

17